arXiv:1401.5422v1 [math.DS] 21 Jan 2014

ON THE LAURENT COEFFICIENTS OF THE RIEMANN MAP FOR THE COMPLEMENT OF THE MANDELBROT SET

GENADI LEVIN

Abstract. Let $\psi(w) = w + C_0 + \frac{C_1}{w} + ... + \frac{C_\ell}{w^\ell} + ...$ be the Laurent series of the Riemann uniformization map from the complement of the unit disk onto the complement of the Mandelbrot set. We straighten a result of [5] about arithmetic properties of the coefficients C_ℓ. This confirms an empirical observation by Don Zagier, see [1].

1. Introduction and the main result

Let $f_c(z) = z^2 - c$ and f_c^n denotes its n-iterate, $n = 1, 2, ...$ (Here and later on we keep the notations of [5], in particular, we use the parameter $-c$ instead of standard c.) The set $M = \{c : \sup_n |f_c^n(0)| < \infty\}$ is called the Mandelbrot set. It is a closed bounded subset of the plane and, by the maximum principle, the complement $\mathbf{C} \setminus M = \{c : f_c^n(0) \to \infty, n \to \infty\}$ is connected. Douady and Hubbard [2] prove that M is also connected. For the proof, they construct a conformal isomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathbf{C} \setminus M \to \mathbf{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbf{D}} \tag{1.1}$$

from the complement of M onto the complement of the unit disk. Note that the famous MLC conjecture says that M is locally connected and this is equivalent to say that the inverse (Riemann) map

$$\psi = \varphi^{-1} : \mathbf{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbf{D}} \to \mathbf{C} \setminus M \tag{1.2}$$

extends continuously onto the unit circle $\partial\mathbf{D}$.

Consider the Laurent series of φ and ψ at ∞:

$$\varphi(c) = c + B_0 + \frac{B_1}{c} + ... + \frac{B_\ell}{c^\ell} + ..., \tag{1.3}$$

$$\psi(w) = w + C_0 + \frac{C_1}{w} + ... + \frac{C_\ell}{w^\ell} + ... \tag{1.4}$$

Every number B_ℓ, C_ℓ is either zero or has a finite 2-adic expansion:

$$B_\ell = \frac{R_\ell}{2^{p_\ell}},\ C_\ell = \frac{K_\ell}{2^{q_\ell}},\ \ell = 0, 1, ..., \tag{1.5}$$

Date: January 22, 2014.

for some odd integer numbers R_ℓ, K_ℓ and some integers p_ℓ, q_ℓ. In what follows, given an integer $m \neq 0$, we denote by $ord(m)$ the maximal power of 2 which devides m, and we set $ord(0) = +\infty$. For a fraction m/n with m, n non-zero integers, $ord(m/n) = ord(m) - ord(n)$. In particular, $ord(B_\ell) = -p_\ell$, $ord(C_\ell) = -q_\ell$ for non-zero B_ℓ, C_ℓ. The following properties of the Laurent coefficients B_ℓ and C_ℓ are found in [4], [5].

Theorem 1.1. [4], [5] *For every $\ell \geq 0$,*

$$p_\ell = \ell + 1 + ord(\ell + 1)! = ord(2\ell + 2)! \tag{1.6}$$

In particular, $B_\ell \neq 0$.

Theorem 1.2. [4], [5] *For $m \geq 2$ and $0 \leq l \leq 2^m - 3$, $C_{(2l+1)2^m} = 0$.*

Theorem 1.3. [5] *For every odd ℓ,*

$$q_\ell = p_\ell = \ell + 1 + ord(\ell + 1)! \tag{1.7}$$

In particular, $C_\ell \neq 0$, if ℓ is odd.

Theorems 1.1-1.2 are announced in [4] along with schemes of their proofs. Detailed proofs of Theorem 1.2 (in a more general setting of the Multibrot set) and Theorem 1.1 appear in [5]. Theorem 1.3 is only stated in [5] with the note that its proof is analogous to the one of Theorem 1.1. Recently, the author learned from [1] that Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 are parts of empirical observations (based on computer calculations) by Don Zagier: see the conjectures (i)-(iii) on p.32-33 of [1]. Since the proof of Theorem 1.3 was not written in [5] we reproduce it in the present note as a consequence of Theorem 1.1. In the course of the proof we confirm also all parts of Zagier's observation (ii), see Theorem 1.4 below.

A particular case of Theorem 1.2 (for $l = 0$) firts appeared in [3] (at the time of writing [4]-[5] the author had no access to [3] though). For a proof of Theorem 1.2 which is different from [4], [5], see [1].

Let us state the main result. It is about (formal) inverse of any (formal) Laurent series with rational coefficients such that their denominators 2^{p_ℓ} satisfy (1.6):

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that (1.3) is a formal Laurent series and (1.4) is its formal inverse. Assume that the coefficients in (1.3) are of the form $B_\ell = \frac{R_\ell}{2^{p_\ell}}$, where R_ℓ are odd integer numbers and the numbers p_ℓ are given by the formula (1.6), $\ell = 0, 1, ...$ Then, for any ℓ, the coefficient C_ℓ in (1.4) is either zero or of the form $C_\ell = \frac{K_\ell}{2^{q_\ell}}$, where K_ℓ is an odd integer and, for the integer q_ℓ,*

$$q_\ell \leq p_\ell = \ell + 1 + ord(\ell + 1)! \tag{1.8}$$

The equality in (1.8) holds if and only if either $\ell = 0$ or ℓ is odd.

Acknowledgment. The author thanks Dierk Schleicher for sending him [1].

2. Proof of Theorem 1.4

We use standard notations

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}, \quad 0 \le k \le n$$

for binomial coefficients, and

$$\binom{k}{k_1, k_2, ..., k_j} = \frac{k!}{k_1!k_2!...k_j!}, \quad 0 \le k_1, ..., k_j \le k, \ k_1 + ... + k_j = k$$

for multinomial ones.

Lemma 2.1. *$C_0 = -B_0$. For every $\ell > 0$,*

$$C_\ell = -\sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} C_k M_{\ell-k} - (M_{\ell+1} - P_\ell), \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$M_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \sum_{(i_1,...,i_j):\sum_t (i_t+1)=k} B_{i_1}...B_{i_j}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$P_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \sum_{(i_1,...,i_j):\sum_t (i_t+1)=k+1} B_{i_1}...B_{i_j}, \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. That follows from a comparison of the free terms in the formal identity

$$\varphi(c)^{\ell+1} + C_0\varphi(c)^\ell + ... + C_{\ell-1}\varphi(c) + C_\ell + O(\frac{1}{c}) = c\varphi(c)^\ell.$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *Let i_t, $t = 1, ..., j$, be non-negative integers. Denote $I = i_1 + ... + t_j$. Then we have:*

$$ord(B_{i_1}...B_{i_j}) \ge ord(B_{I+j-1}). \tag{2.4}$$

The equality in (2.4) holds if and only if the (integer) $\binom{I+j}{i_1+1, i_2+1, ..., i_j+1}$ is an odd number.

Proof. By (1.6), $ord(B_{i_1}...B_{i_j}) = \sum_{t=1}^{j} ord(B_{i_t}) = -(I+j) - ord\Pi_{t=1}^{j}(i_t+1)!$ and $ord(B_{I+j-1}) = -(I+j) - ord(I+j)!$. But $ord(I+j)! - ord\Pi_{t=1}^{j}(i_t+1)! = ord\binom{I+j}{i_1+1,...,i_j+1}$, where $\binom{I+j}{i_1+1,...,i_j+1}$ is integer. Hence, $ord(I+j)! - ord\Pi_{t=1}^{j}(i_t+1)! \ge 0$ and the equality holds if and only if $\binom{I+j}{i_1+1,...,i_j+1}$ is odd. □

We will use repeatedly the following obvious

Fact. *If $D = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} D_k$ is a sum of fractions $D_k = \frac{L_k}{2^{r_k}}$, where L_k is an odd integer, p_k is an integer and $p_k \le p_0$ for every k, then $ord(D) \ge -p_0$.*

The equality $ord(D) = -p_0$ holds if and only if $p_k = p_0$ for an odd number of indexes k.

We need also two identities (a)-(b):

(a) For any integers $1 \le m \le n$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \binom{m}{m-j} \sum_{(r_1,...,r_j):r_t\ge 1, 1\le t\le j, r_1+...+r_j=n} \binom{n}{r_1, r_2, ..., r_j} = m^n. \tag{2.5}$$

(b) For any integer $n \ge 1$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{[n/2]} \binom{n}{2i} = 2^{n-1}. \tag{2.6}$$

Lemma 2.3. *(a) For every $k > 0$, $ord(M_k) \ge ord(B_{k-1})$ and the equality holds if and only if k is an odd number.*

(b) For every $k > 0$, $ord(P_k) \ge ord(B_k)$ and the equality holds if and only if k is an odd number.

Proof. (a) We use (2.2). By Lemma 2.2, for every multi-index $(i_1, ..., i_j)$ as in (2.2), $ord(B_{i_1}...B_{i_j}) \ge ord(B_{k-1})$ and the equality holds if and only if $\binom{k}{i_1+1,...,i_j+1}$ is odd. Hence, $ord(\sum_{(i_1,...,i_j):\sum_t (i_t+1)=k} B_{i_1}...B_{i_j}) \ge ord(B_{k-1})$ and the equality holds if and only if the sum

$$\sum_{(r_1,...,r_j):r_t\ge 1, 1\le t\le j, r_1+...+r_j=k} \binom{k}{r_1, r_2, ..., r_j}$$

is odd. Then, by (2.2), $ord(M_k) \ge ord(B_{k-1})$ and the equality holds if and only if the sum

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \sum_{(r_1,...,r_j):r_t\ge 1, 1\le t\le j, r_1+...+r_j=k} \binom{k}{r_1, r_2, ..., r_j}$$

is odd. By the identity (2.5) with $m = n = k$, the latter sum is equal to k^k. This proves (a). The proof of (b) is similar using (2.3) and (2.5) where we put $m = k$ and $n = k + 1$. □

Now we prove Theorem 1.4 by induction on $\ell \ge 1$. As $C_1 = -B_1$, the statement is true for $\ell = 1$. Assume that, for some $\ell > 1$, $ord(C_k) > ord(B_k)$ for any even $2 \le k \le \ell - 1$, and $ord(C_k) = ord(B_k)$ for any odd $1 \le k \le \ell - 1$. We show in (i)-(iv), see below, that then $ord(C_k) > ord(B_k)$, if ℓ is even and $ord(C_k) = ord(B_k)$, if ℓ is odd.

(i). By Lemma 2.3, $ord(M_{\ell+1} - P_\ell) = ord(B_\ell)$ as the integers $(\ell+1)^{\ell+1}$ and $\ell^{\ell+1}$ have different parity.

(ii). $ord(C_0M_\ell) = ord(B_0M_\ell) = -1 + ord(M_\ell) \ge -1 + ord(B_{\ell-1}) = -(1+\ell) - ord(\ell!)$ and the equality holds if and only if ℓ is odd. In turn, $-(1+\ell) - ord(\ell!) \ge -(1+\ell) - ord(\ell+1)! = ord(B_\ell)$, where the equality holds if and only if ℓ is even. Thus in any case, $ord(C_0M_\ell) > ord(B_\ell)$.

(iii). Let ℓ be an odd number. Then, for each odd $1 \le k \le \ell - 1$, $\ell - k$ is even, hence, $ord(M_{\ell-k}) > ord(B_{\ell-k-1})$. Also, $ord(C_k) \ge ord(B_k)$ by the induction hypothesis. For each even $1 \le k \le \ell - 1$, $\ell - k$ is odd, hence, $ord(M_{\ell-k}) = ord(B_{\ell-k-1})$, but, by the induction hypothesis, $ord(C_k) > ord(B_k)$. Therefore, for each $1 \le k \le \ell - 1$, $ord(C_k M_{\ell-k}) > ord(B_k B_{\ell-k-1}) \ge ord(B_\ell)$ and using also (ii), $ord(C_0 M_\ell + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} C_k M_{\ell-k}) > ord(B_\ell)$. Thus, by (i),

$$ord(C_\ell) = ord(M_{\ell+1} - P_\ell + \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} C_k M_{\ell-k}) = ord(B_\ell).$$

(iv). Let ℓ be even. For even $1 \le k \le \ell-1$, by the induction hypotheis, $ord(C_k) > ord(B_k)$, hence, $ord(C_k M_{e-k}) > ord(B_k B_{\ell-k-1}) \ge ord(B_\ell)$. For odd $1 \le k \le \ell - 1$, by the induction hypotheis, $ord(C_k) = ord(B_k)$ and since $\ell - k$ is odd too, $ord(M_{\ell-k}) = ord(B_{\ell-k-1})$. Hence, for odd k, $ord(C_k M_{\ell-k}) = ord(B_k B_{\ell-k-1}) \ge ord(B_\ell)$ and the equality holds if and only if $\binom{\ell+1}{k+1}$ is odd. By the identity (2.6), $\sum_{k=1,k \text{ is odd}}^{\ell-1} \binom{\ell+1}{k+1} = 2^\ell - 1$ (we use that $\ell + 1$ is odd). As $2^\ell - 1$ is even, that means that in the sum $\sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} C_k M_{\ell-k}$, we have: $ord(C_k M_{\ell-k}) \ge ord(B_\ell)$ for every k and the number of indexes where the equality holds is odd. Hence, $ord(\sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} C_k M_{\ell-k}) = ord(B_\ell)$. Using (i) we have in the considered case: $ord(M_{\ell+1} - P_\ell) = ord(\sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} C_k M_{\ell-k}) = ord(B_\ell)$. Therefore,

$$ord(C_\ell) = ord(M_{\ell+1} - P_\ell + \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} C_k M_{\ell-k}) > ord(B_\ell).$$

This completes the step of induction.

References

[1] Bielefeld, B., Fisher, Y., v.Haeseler, F.: *Computing the Laurent Series of the Map* $\Psi : \mathbf{C} - \overline{\mathbf{D}} \to \mathbf{C} - M$. Advances in Applied Mathematics 14, 25-38 (1993)

[2] Douady, A., Hubbard, J. H.: *Iteration des polynomes quadratiques complexes.* C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris Ser.I Math. 224(1982), no.3, 123-126.

[3] Jungreis, I.: *The uniformization of the complement of the Mandelbrot set.* Duke Math. J. 52, no. 4 (1985), 935-938.

[4] Levin, G.: *On the arithmetic properties of a certain sequencs of polynomials* (Russian). Uspehi Matematicheskih Nauk, 43 (1988), no. 1, 203-204. Translation in Russian Math.Surveys 43 (1988), no.1, 245-246.

[5] Levin, G.: *On the theory of iterations of polynomial families in the complex plane* (Russian). Teoryya Funkzii, Funkzionalnyi Analiz i Ikh Prilozheniya, no. 51 (1989), 94-106. Translation in Journal of Soviet Mathematics, v.52, no.6 (1990), 3512-3522. See also author's webpage http://www.ma.huji.ac.il/∼levin/

Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, 91904, Israel

E-mail address: levin@math.huji.ac.il